

United States Patent and Trademark Office



M)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/888,214	/888,214 06/21/2001		Frank Melzer	LO25-003	8415		
21567	7590	03/23/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
WELLS ST			SHAFER,	SHAFER, RICKY D			
601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2872			

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			214	MELZER ET AL.					
			er	Art Unit					
		Ricky D	. Shafer	2872	on				
The Period for Re	ne MAILING DATE of this commun	cation appears on t	he cover shet with the o	correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>23 February 2</u>	<u>2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ Thi	s action is FINAL.	2b) ☐ This action is	non-final.						
, <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 and 22-25 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	Papers								
10)⊠ The App Rep	specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 23 February olicant may not request that any objectacement drawing sheet(s) including oath or declaration is objected to	2004 is/are: a)☐ action to the drawing(s the correction is req) be held in abeyance. Se uired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or (s)/Mail Date <u>23 February 2004</u> .		4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		D-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/888,214

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 23 February 2004 has been entered.

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 23 February 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons as set forth below.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-4 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification, as originally filed, fails to provide an enabling disclosure as to how to connect a plurality of individual optical elements (mirrors) to a basic body, by a galvanoplastic joining technique, when the plurality of individual optical elements (mirrors) are positioned "on" said basic body. Note Fig. 2 and page 6, lines 30 to 34 of the specification, which states that the mirror elements (9) placed on the basic body (8) are connected to the basic body (8) by a galvanoplastic joining technique, as indicated by an intermediate layer (10) between the mirror elements (9) and the basic body (8).

It would appear that the positioning of the plurality of individual optical elements (mirrors) on the basic body would prevent an intermediate layer from being formed between the basic body and the individual optical elements (mirrors) and would substantially cover all of the exterior surfaces except for the interface between the individual optical elements (mirrors) and the basic body.

Thus, the specification, as originally filed, fails to adequately teach one of ordinary skill in the art how to make an intermediate layer (10), formed by a galvanoplastic joining technique, located between the individual optical elements (mirrors) and the basic body when the individual optical elements (mirrors) are positioned on the basic body without undue experimentation and/or speculation as to what type of "galvanoplastic joining technique" applicant may be intending/referring too.

- 5. The amendment filed 23 February 2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:
- A). On Page 4 of the specification, the replacement of the description of Fig. 2 with a description of figures 2a and 2b in the Brief Description Of The Drawings contains new matter due the fact that Fig. 2a includes multiple intermediate layers (10) between the base body (8) and the mirror elements (9) and Fig. 2b includes mirror elements (9) being partially covered with a protection layer (30).
- B). On page 5 of the specification, the replacement of "figure 2" with --figures 2a and 2b-- is considered new matter for the same reasons stated above.

C). On page 6 of the specification, the addition of the language "Additionally...process."

Page 4

is considered new matter for the same reasons stated above.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

6. The drawings are objected to because Fig. 2a includes multiple intermediate layers (10)

between the base body (8) and the mirror elements (9) and Fig. 2b includes mirror elements (9)

being partially covered with a protection layer (30) which is considered to be new matter. A

proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to

avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in

abeyance.

7. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of

the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art

of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under

37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action

after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114.

See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Art Unit: 2872

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ricky D. Shafer at telephone number (571) 272-2320.

RDS

March 21, 2004